**Project marking criteria**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Course Code: | | | *AIT 312* | | | | Course Name: | | | | Human Computer Interaction | | | | | | | Project No. | | | | 1 | | | | | Date: | | | 24/5/2023 | | | |
| Student Name: | | | **Mina George raouf** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Student ID: | | | | **20211701741** | | | | | | | | | |
|  | A (89-100) | | | | | B (76-88) | | | | | | | C (67-75) | | | | | | D (60-66) | | | | | | | | | F (0-59) | | | | | |
|  | 100 | 96 | | 92 | 89 | 88 | | 84 | 80 | | | 76 | 75 | 72 | | 69 | 67 | | 66 | | 64 | | 62 | | | 60 | | 59 | 40 | | | 20 | 0 |
| Relevance & Implementation of Functions (30%) | * All functions in program are relevant and correctly structured and implemented * All requirements are met | | | | | * Functions in program are correctly structured and implemented but there are few missing issues, functions/ requirements, minor deviations | | | | | | | * Some Functions in program are not correctly structured and implemented. * There are some missing issues, functions/ requirements, or major deviations | | | | | | * Many functions are not correctly structured and implemented. * There are many missing issues, functions/ requirements, or major deviations | | | | | | | | | * Most of functions are incorrect * There are major missing issues, functions/ requirements, deviations in most of the implemented functions. | | | | | |
|  | **√** | |  |  |  | |  |  | | |  |  |  | |  |  | |  | |  | |  | | |  | |  |  | | |  |  |
| Passing Test Cases  (20%) | * The program can pass all test cases correctly. | | | | | * The program can pass most of test cases correctly. | | | | | | | * The program can pass only some of test cases correctly. | | | | | | * The program can pass only few of test cases correctly. | | | | | | | | | * The program cannot run most of the test cases or there are syntax errors such that the program doesn’t run at all. | | | | | |
| **√** |  | |  |  |  | |  |  | | |  |  |  | |  |  | |  | |  | |  | | |  | |  |  | | |  |  |
| Clean Code  (10%) | * The written code follows the guidelines of clean code | | | | | * The written code almost follows the guidelines of clean code | | | | | | | * The written code partially follows the guidelines of clean code | | | | | | * Low ability to follow guidelines of clean code | | | | | | | | | * The program doesn’t follow any guidelines of clean code | | | | | |
| **√** |  | |  |  |  | |  |  | | |  |  |  | |  |  | |  | |  | |  | | |  | |  |  | | |  |  |
| Breakdown and Integration (20%) | * Tasks and functions are well broken down and all project components are well connected and integrated. | | | | | * Tasks and functions are well broken down and most of project components are well connected and integrated. | | | | | | | * Tasks and functions are fairly broken down and half of project components are well connected and integrated. | | | | | | * Tasks and functions are fairly broken down and few of the project components are well connected and integrated. | | | | | | | | | * Tasks and functions lack proper breakdown and all project components are not integrated. | | | | | |
| **√** |  | |  |  |  | |  |  | | |  |  |  | |  |  | |  | |  | |  | | |  | |  |  | | |  |  |
| Individual Assessment via oral discussion (20%) | * Fully understand all the project aspects * Answer all the discussion questions correctly | | | | | * Understand most of the project aspects * Answer most of the discussion questions correctly | | | | | | | * Partially understand the project aspects * Answer few of the discussion questions correctly | | | | | | * Partially understand the project aspects * Answer most of the discussion questions incorrectly | | | | | | | | | * Don’t understand the project aspects * Answer all the discussion questions incorrectly | | | | | |
| **√** |  | |  |  |  | |  |  | | |  |  |  | |  |  | |  | |  | |  | | |  | |  |  | | |  |  |
| 1st marker Total | | | | | | 95% | | | | 1st marker  Signature | | | | | | | | Dr.Nivin Atef | | | | | | | **ASU** Agreed Mark | | | | | | 19 | | |
| 2nd Marker Total | | | | | | 95% | | | | 2nd marker Signature | | | | | | | | TA.Salma Elkady | | | | | | | **UEL**  Agreed Mark | | | | | | 95 | | |
| General Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **UEL Grading System** | **Agreed Mark Range** | **ASU Grading Scale** | | | **% equivalent at UEL** | **% at ASU** | **Grade** | | 95% and higher |  | 97% and higher | A+ | | 82% to less than 95% | **√** | 93% to less than 97% | A | | 70% to less than 82% |  | 89% to less than 93% | A- | | 66% to less than 70% |  | 84% to less than 89% | B+ | | 63% to less than 66% |  | 80% to less than 84% | B | | 60% to less than 63% |  | 76% to less than 80% | B- | | 56% to less than 60% |  | 73% to less than 76% | C+ | | 53% to less than 56% |  | 70% to less than 73% | C | | 50% to less than 53% |  | 67% to less than 70% | C- | | 45% to less than 50% |  | 64% to less than 67% | D+ | | 40% to less than 45% |  | 60% to less than 64% | D | | Less than 40% |  | Less than 60% | F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |